



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_64095
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF MICROBIAL LOADS AND PHYSICO-CHEMICALS OF CASSAVA MILL EFFLUENT SIMULATED SOIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>According to the review, it meets the requirements as an article, but it would be interesting to highlight what was indicated in the reviewer comments column</p> <p>The introduction does not include the importance of microorganisms in the soil and what is their importance of having microbial diversity? If it has agronomic importance? What problems would it solve? Liquid or solid samples or both? Were there repetitions for each treatment? For the identification of fungi, what key did they use? Why didn't they take concentrations in equal ranges (to go from 0 to 100)? (Microbial load vs CME contamination level) Microbial diversity is not included in the discussion</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In heavy elements, the allowable ppm range is not mentioned.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If the community has shown coltate effects from the residuals of the CME	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ricardo González Mateos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero, México</b>