

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ SAJSSE _69883
Title of the Manuscript:	Foreign Portfolio Investment and Economic Growth in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>General Comments</p> <p>From my point of view, it is a very interesting topic and simultaneously it seems that to the best of my knowledge is an important empirical research analyzing the Foreign Portfolio Investment and Economic Growth in Nigeria. The paper consists of five sections: Introduction, Literature Review, Material and Methods, and Conclusions and Recommendations.</p> <p>However, I find some recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract must contains the main purpose of the paper, the research method used in the research and the main contributions. 2. It would be very useful to add in the "Introduction" section the purpose, objectives and hypothesis of the research. 3. We consider that the introduction should specify the novelty of the paper compared to other papers published in this area. <p>4. I think that descriptive perspective is crucial to understand the context of the problem to be analyzed.</p> <p>9. Also, we consider the literature is not enough and that is why, we recommend the authors to refer to other recent works indexed in Web of Science, Scopus, Emerald, Cambrige, and of course MDPI Journals. We suggest that the authors cite papers published in MDPI journals and Web of Science Journals, such as:</p> <p>Batrancea I., Batrancea L., Nichita A., Gaban L., Masca E., Fatacean G., Moscviciov A., An Econometric Approach on Production, Costs and Profit in Romanian Coal Mining Enterprises, <i>Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja</i>, 2019, 32(1), 1019-1063, ISSN: 1331-677X.</p> <p>Batrancea I., Rathnaswamy M.K., Batrancea L., Nichita A., Gaban L., Fatacean G., Tulai H., Bircea I., Rus M.-I. (2020), A Panel Data Analysis on Sustainable Economic Growth in India, Brazil, and Romania, <i>Journal of Risk and Financial Management</i>, 13(8), 170, ISSN: 1911-8074.</p> <p>Batrancea, I.; Mozi, Rathnaswamy M.; Gaban, L.; Fatacean G.; Tulai h.; Bircea, I; Rus M.I. An Empirical Investigation on Determinants of Sustainable Economic Growth. Lessons from Central and Eastern European Countries" <i>J. Risk Financial Manag.</i>, 2020, 13, no. 7: 146. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13070146</p> <p>Bătrâncea L.M., Nichita R.A. (2012), The Implications of Tax Morale on Tax Compliance Behavior, <i>Annals of University of Oradea: Economic Science</i>, Tom XXI, 1, 739-744</p> <p>The article can be improved if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author does the Likelihood test, then the Hausman test, the heteroskedaticity test, the endonegity test and the residue test if it follows the normal law of distribution. 2. The authors must explain whether they used the fixed effects and random effects panel. <p>In conclusion, the paper can be published after minor revisions. It should also be enhanced with a review of the literature adequate to the subject and a broader interpretation and commentary of the research results.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Batrancea Larissa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Babes-Bolyai University, Romania</i>